

BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING

January 23, 2018

City Hall Council Chambers

2263 Santa Clara Avenue

Alameda, CA 94501

UNADOPTED MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING: The regular meeting of the Board of Education was held on the date and place mentioned above.

A. CALL TO ORDER

1. Public Comment on Closed Session Topics

There were no public comments prior to Closed Session.

2. Adjourn to Closed Session - 5:30 PM

Board of Education Members present for Closed Session: Gray Harris, Gary K. Lym, Anne McKereghan, and Jennifer Williams.

3. Reconvene to Public Session - 6:30 PM

4. Call to Order - Pledge of Allegiance – Ruby Bridges Elementary School

Principal Jesse Woodward and students from Ruby Bridges Elementary School led the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. Introduction of Board Members and Staff:

Board of Education Members present for Open Session: Gray Harris, Anne McKereghan, and Jennifer Williams.

Student Board Members present: Ken Der (ASTI), Audrey Wismar (Encinal Jr. & Sr. High School), and Morgan Devlin (Alameda High School).

AUSD staff members present: Superintendent Sean McPhetridge, General Counsel Chad Pimentel, Chief Business Officer Shariq Khan, Chief Student Support Officer Kirsten Zazo, Chief Academic Officer Steven Fong, and Administrative Assistant to the Superintendent Kerri Lonergan.

B. MODIFICATION(S) OF THE AGENDA – There were no modifications to the agenda.

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Approval of Minutes

Minutes from the January 9, 2018 Board of Education Meeting were considered.

Motion to approve the adoption of the January 9th Board of Education meeting minutes.

MOTION: Member Williams

SECONDED: Member McKereghan

AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams

NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

D. COMMUNICATIONS

1. Highlighting Alameda Schools – Ruby Bridges Elementary School

Jesse Woodward, Paden Elementary School principal, gave information on Ruby Bridges Elementary School's Vision, Mission, and Goals. Mr. Woodward introduced Ruby Bridges' Vice Principal, Ben Lundholm, who gave information on the school site's implementation of Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) and Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS). Mr. Woodward gave the Board information about the school's STEAM Innovative program, classes in computer coding, social media presence, and parent engagement efforts.

2. Written Correspondence

The Board did not receive any emails related to this agenda.

3. Board Members' Report

Board Member Jennifer Williams: Member Williams announced the Board will be having their first Wellness Subcommittee meeting of 2018 on Monday, January 29th at 5:30pm. The guest at the meeting will be Brooke Zimmerman, who is the former Director of Student Services for Piedmont Unified School District.

Board President Gray Harris – Member Harris acknowledged the Board has received many emails regarding budget priorities and clarified that emails are only reported out by staff if they relate to an agenda item. Member Harris stated the reason principals have been given notice about budget reductions is because budgets need to be planned far ahead of time. Member Harris stated that no decisions have been made on budget priorities so far. Member Harris announced that she and Member Williams recently attended a City/School Subcommittee meeting where the district's property on the base was discussed.

Board Member Anne McKereghan – Member McKereghan thanked the families who came out on the Martin Luther King, Jr. Day of Service on January 15th. Member McKereghan announced the Board has received emails regarding budget cuts. Member McKereghan stated AUSD continues to be impacted by the State budget and the lack of school funding allocated to districts. Member McKereghan stated AUSD is still facing very large expenditures and the Board needs to find a way to increase employee compensation despite the school funding issues. Member McKereghan stated the Board is looking at all items and hopes to make cuts away from students. Member McKereghan encouraged members of the audience and the public to

reach out to their state legislators to let them know school funding is a priority.

4. Superintendent's Report

Superintendent Sean McPhetridge gave information about the proclamations on the Consent Calendar on the agenda: Season of Nonviolence which starts on January 30th, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day on January 15th, Fred Korematsu Day of Civil Liberties and the Constitution on January 30th, Lincoln Day on February 12th, President's Day on February 29th, and African American History Month which is February 2018.

Dr. McPhetridge invited the public to the Beyond 'Everyone Belongs Here' event on Tuesday, January 30th. The event will be held at the AUSD District Office and will begin at 6pm. Dr. McPhetridge also stated that in the wake of threats of ICE raids, AUSD is a "Safe Haven District."

Dr. McPhetridge acknowledged the many emails he has received from teachers, parents, and guardians regarding budget priorities. Dr. McPhetridge stated there has been recent talk of potential cuts to programs at schools, adding that the Board has directed staff to review budget priorities in order to fund increased compensation for employees, who are among lowest paid in Alameda County. Dr. McPhetridge noted that other districts in the county are having to slash their budgets at this time, while AUSD, due to careful budgeting, is not doing that. Dr. McPhetridge acknowledged AUSD needs to pick and choose among its expenditures so as to free up funds for raises.

Dr. McPhetridge stated these are difficult conversations to be having but there are areas the district can improve and pointed out that the teacher's union sees "inefficient staffing" as an area that could be tightened up so as to allow for employee raises. Dr. McPhetridge announced presentations on possible ways to reduce the budget will begin in February.

Dr. McPhetridge stated that the idea that Local Control Funding Formula is now fully funded is not entirely true, and California's schools remain among the lowest funded in US, which is inadequate.

Dr. McPhetridge reminded the audience that AUSD is now working with the School Funding Coalition (which is advocating for more state funding for schools). He adds that AUSD's parcel tax is low compared to neighboring districts, and we have staffing inefficiencies that cost money.

Dr. McPhetridge asked stakeholders to help AUSD and join the district in serious discussions and dedicated inquiry instead of just choosing the easier and simpler route of throwing blame at the Board or district staff for problems that are deeply entrenched in the way California funds public schools.

5. Report from Student Board Members

Morgan Devlin, Alameda High School – Ms. Devlin stated that January is Sex Trafficking Awareness Month. Ms. Devlin stated Alameda High School is also holding a beach cleanup on January 28th. Ms. Devlin announced spring sports are starting at Alameda High School.

Ken Der, ASTI – Mr. Der stated last Friday ASTI had a training from the ACLU which was part of a continuing effort for ASTI, which is designated as a ‘No Place for Hate’ school. Mr. Der announced Wednesday, January 24th is a RISE day at ASTI. Mr. Der explained that RISE is ASTI’s version of Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS). Mr. Der announced ASTI advisory students are planning a lunchtime advisory event with balloons and dart throwing.

Audrey Wismar, Encinal Jr. & Sr. High School – Ms. Wismar stated this February there will be a political event that will be student run that will be focused on getting more females involved in politics. February will also be Teen Violence Dating Awareness Month.

6. Report from Employee Organizations

Ron Parodi, Vice President, AEA: Mr. Parodi stated there was a negotiations session held that day at the district office, and he acknowledged there is a lot of hard work in front of the negotiation teams. Mr. Parodi stated there needs to be an agreement by early May if the contract is going to be settled this school year.

Cindy Zecher, President, CSEA 27: Ms. Zecher thanked the District for letting CSEA 27 members attend last week’s Annual Governor’s Budget Workshop in Sacramento. Ms. Zecher stated she even enjoyed connecting with District staff during the drive there and back. Ms. Zecher stated she is looking forward to participating in the LCAP process again this year. Ms. Zecher thanked Board President Harris for inviting more people to get involved in this process.

7. Report from PTA Council

Page Tomblin, President, PTA Council: Ms. Tomblin stated she was just back from the California PTA Conference in Sacramento, where she said many PTAs from districts across California were in attendance. Ms. Tomblin said the California state PTA is working to galvanize their membership across the state to work together on this issue. Ms. Tomblin stated that every single PTA group at this meeting was experiencing the same thing AUSD is experiencing with large budget cuts. Ms. Tomblin stated she hopes going forward there can be increased clear communication with families about why certain budget cuts are being considered.

8. Public Comments

Jamie Orfanos, Earhart parent: Ms. Orfanos stated she represents the Earhart parent community and her community supports a wage increase for AUSD teachers. Ms. Orfanos stated she would like the innovative program at Earhart

to remain intact because it provides an alternative way of learning for many students.

Kathy Servello, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Ms. Servello stated she was in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School.

Aimee Baker, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Ms. Baker spoke in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School.

Ron Johnson, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Mr. Johnson spoke in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School. Mr. Johnson, an art teacher, handed out art created by students in his class.

Sharmaine Moody, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Ms. Moody stated she was in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School. Ms. Moody stated going from 55-60 CORE students a day to 90 students a day would be detrimental to Lincoln students.

Griff Hodge, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Mr. Hodge stated he was in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School.

Angie Green, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Ms. Green stated she was in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School. Ms. Green stated she is a Spanish teacher and research shows the younger children start learning a language the more fluent they become.

Will Green, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Mr. Green stated he was in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School. Mr. Green urged the Board to keep the 7 period day.

Katie DeVries, parent of two AUSD students: Ms. DeVries stated she was in opposition of the change from a 7 period day to a 6 period day at Lincoln Middle School. Ms. DeVries stated if there is a change made to the schedule at Lincoln she would not consider the school for her younger student.

9. Closed Session Action Report

In Closed Session the Board voted 4-0 to give staff direction and authorization regarding the current litigation Closed Session agenda item.

E. ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR

Public Comments

Rasheed Shabazz, community organizer: Mr. Shabazz gave information on the formation of Black History Month, which is a part of the Consent

Calendar. Mr. Shabazz mentioned the many African Americans who helped to build the Alameda community and build Alameda as a City. Mr. Shabazz named Elector Littlejohn, Willie Stargell, Les White, and Ruby Bridges. Mr. Shabazz noted that Bingham and Manning Lanes in Bayport were named after prominent African Americans from Alameda.

1. Certificated Personnel Actions
2. Classified Personnel Actions
3. Approval and Acceptance of Donations
4. Approval of Bill Warrants and Payroll Registers
5. Approval of Project Award of Paden Elementary Measure I Bond Construction Pursuant to Request for Proposal Dated November 14, 2017
6. Approval of Project Award of Edison Elementary Measure I Bond Construction Pursuant to Request For Proposal Dated November 14, 2017
7. Approval of Memorandum of Understanding with Colleges and Universities for Student and Teacher Interns: John F. Kennedy University Community Counseling Center
8. Approval of Special Education Local Plan Area Master Contracts
9. Proclamation: Martin Luther King Jr. Day, January 15, 2018
10. Proclamation: A Season for Nonviolence, January 30 – April 4, 2018
11. Proclamation: Fred Korematsu Day of Civil Liberties and the Constitution - January 30, 2018
12. Proclamation: African American History Month - February
13. Proclamation: Lincoln Day - February 12, 2018
14. Proclamation: President's Day - February 19, 2018
15. Ratification of Contracts Executed Pursuant to Board Policy 3300
16. Resolution 2017-2018.37 Authorizing District to File Request for Allowance of Attendance Due to Emergency Conditions
17. Resolution No. 2017-2018.38 Approval of Budget Transfers, Increases, Decreases
18. Resolution No. 2017-2018.39 for Annual Accounting for Developer Fees for Fiscal Year 2016-17 Collected by the District in Account: Fund 25
19. Resolution No. 2017-2018.40 Authorization to Dispose of Surplus Property

Motion to approve the adoption of the Consent Calendar with the exception of item E-12, which will come back to a subsequent meeting with revisions suggested by the public speaker.

MOTION: Member Williams

SECONDED: Member McKereghan

AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams

NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

F. GENERAL BUSINESS

1. Audit Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

April Dizon, Director of Fiscal, introduced the two items that were recently audited by the accounting firm Christy White Accountancy Corporation. Each year, a school district is required by law to review at a public meeting the annual audit of the school district's financial records for the prior fiscal year.

The audit examines the District's compliance with state and federal standards and procedures in order for the District to implement sound fiscal management practices for the most effective and efficient use of public funds.

A Board member asked if there was a big financial risk in the significant deficiency found in the Associated Student Body accounts.

The auditor stated there isn't a financial risk issue, but it is more of a liability issue with possible fraud. Ms. Dizon stated the district has followed up with the district's secondary schools to offer additional training for the staff that handles ASB accounts.

Public Speaker:

Don Sherratt, chair of the Measure I Bond Oversight Committee: Mr. Sherratt commended the community for passing Measure I and acknowledged staff for being on target with the Measure I Bond projects. Mr. Sherratt stated AUDS is following all laws that pertain to the use of Bond funds, and all projects have followed the district's Master Plan. Mr. Sherratt thanked staff and the Board for this work.

A Board member thanked Chief Business Officer Shariq Khan and his staff for their work in the Fiscal department. The Board member stated this audit report shows that staff that are charged with handling the district's finances are doing a great job. The Board member also thanked the Measure I Oversight Committee.

Motion to approve the Audit Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018.

MOTION: Member Williams

SECONDED: Member McKereghan

AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams

NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

Student Morgan Devlin

2. Measure I Bond Audit Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Each year, a school district is required by law to review at a public meeting the annual audit of the school district's financial records for the prior fiscal year.

The audit examines the District's compliance with state and federal standards

and procedures in order for the District to implement sound fiscal management practices for the most effective and efficient use of public funds.

The auditor also gave the Board information on the district's Measure I Bond financials. The auditor stated there were no issues with the audited items under the Measure I Bond financials.

A Board member asked if the auditors checked the Bond language when looking at the Bond financials, and the auditor stated they did check the financials against the Bond language.

Motion to approve the Measure I Audit Report for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018.

MOTION: Member McKereghan **SECONDED:** Member Williams

AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams

NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

3. Measure A Annual Report

Susan Davis, Senior Manager of Community Affairs, gave the Board and audience background information on the Measure A Parcel Tax. On March 8, 2011, Alameda voters approved Measure A, with 68.01% voting yes. This parcel tax generates approximately \$12 million per year for seven years. It replaced the former Measure A (passed in 2005) and Measure H (passed in 2008).

In May 2016, the Board reviewed staff recommendations for allocations of Measure A funds for the 2016-17 school year. In June 2016, the Board acted on those recommendations as part of its budget adoption for 2016-17.

To ensure public accountability and fiscal transparency, Measure A also provides for an Oversight Committee to "review District compliance with the terms of this Measure."

Ms. Davis stated there are currently two vacancies on the Measure A Oversight Committee and encouraged people to apply for this committee.

A Board member complimented Ms. Davis and the Measure A Oversight Committee for their work on the Annual Report.

Ms. Davis introduced the Chair of the Measure A Oversight Committee, Kathryn Saulsgiver, who thanked Ms. Davis, CBO Shariq Khan, Fiscal Director April Dizon, and Business Services Administrative Assistant Danielle Kruger for all of their assistance.

Ms. Saulsgiver stated the Measure A Oversight Committee certified the Annual Report and stated the funds in the Parcel Tax are being spent in the way the voters of Alameda voted for them to be spent.

4. Approval of Measure I Lincoln Middle School Schematic Design
Shariq Khan, Chief Business Officer, introduced Nick Stephenson from Quattrocchi Kwok Architects (QKA) to present the schematic design for Lincoln Middle School. The schematic design for the Lincoln Middle School Measure I Bond project is derived from the District Master Plan, the Measure I Implementation Plan (Plan B), critical facility needs identified since the Implementation Plan was developed, and priorities as expressed by parents and the site staff through a series of site committee meetings. It includes work to improve thermal comfort in the classrooms through window repairs in the classrooms, mechanical heating systems, as well as site safety, technology and communications upgrades, and accessibility improvements to path of travel and restrooms.

Lincoln Middle School Principal Michael Hans stated he is happy with the security aspects of the plan and the improvements to the bike path. A Board member asked Mr. Hans if he supported the plans as submitted. Mr. Hans stated he supported many aspects of the plan but had some reservations.

Public Comment:

Mitzi Thompson, teacher at Lincoln Middle School: Ms. Thompson stated there are many teachers and parents who are not in support of the proposed plans for the redesign of Lincoln Middle School. Ms. Thompson stated she did not feel the district's architects were receptive to changes suggested by staff and parents. Ms. Thompson stated money should not be wasted with the plan as is, but it should be improved to meet all needs.

Tina O'Grady, parent of Lincoln Middle School student and elementary student: Ms. Grady stated she is president of the music boosters. Ms. O'Grady stated the current schematic design does not serve the 20% of students who are a part of the band program at Lincoln Middle School. Ms. O'Grady stated the band program at Lincoln Middle School is a program that draws students to the school. Ms. O'Grady stated the music program is almost entirely funded by parent fundraising and donations.

A Board member asked Mr. Stephenson if there were alternatives looked at when designing the project that would not have impacted the band room and the administration building.

Mr. Stephenson stated that every alternative was explored, but the design team was bound to consider the district's Safety and Security Standards and the budget constrictions and found that the current plan was the best decision of a very difficult process.

A Board member asked Mr. Stephenson to identify where the current Woodshop classroom was located and asked if that was a viable option for the Administration building. Mr. Stephenson said this option was explored and it was not viable.

A Board member asked if there was time to have additional engagement with the community. Mr. Stephenson stated the design has to be set and approved by June 2018 in order to be approved by the DSA by January 2019. The Board member asked if there could be a couple more meetings held so that people feel that they have had enough time to have their voices heard.

Mark Quattrocchi stated that, hearing the concerns from the Board and community, now he recommends deferring this project until more meetings can be held.

Dr. McPhetridge reminded the community that the safety and security of the school site is what the voters of Alameda voted for in the language of the Measure I Bond.

A Board member stated she wasn't looking for 100% community support for the project but has heard from many Lincoln community members who have said they have not been heard. The Board member stated she was not comfortable voting yes on a project with this feedback.

A Board member stated she has reviewed the minutes for all meetings held on the schematic design for this project, and she believes that there have been many meetings starting in 2014 on the Facilities Master Plan, Technology Plan, and the Lincoln Schematic Design Plan. The Board member said after reviewing these meeting minutes she has seen many concessions made based on community concern. The Board member stated she is comfortable with the amount of community outreach that has been done to date.

Robbie Lyng, Bond Project Manager, stated we are up against a very tight time schedule on this project due to the window repair project which is due to be starting this summer.

Motion to postpone the Measure I Lincoln Middle School Schematic Design until additional community meetings can be held.

MOTION: Member Harris

SECONDED: Member McKereghan
MOTION WITHDRAWN

Motion to divide the question.

MOTION: Member McKereghan

SECONDED: Member Williams

AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams

NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

Motion to approve the window repair project and technology portion of the project.

MOTION: Member McKereghan **SECONDED:** Member Williams
AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams
NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

Motion to postpone consideration of the remainder of the item until the Board's regularly scheduled February 27th meeting.

MOTION: Member Harris **SECONDED:** Member Williams
AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams
NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

5. Cost and Timeline Estimates for Possible Remediation or Replacement of Lum Elementary School Buildings

Shariq Khan, Chief Business Officer, gave the Board and audience background information on the order of events that necessitated the removal of students and staff from the Lum Elementary School campus.

In April and May of 2017, the Board received reports from two structural engineering firms, two geotechnical engineering firms, and its master architects regarding the risks posed to Lum Elementary due to possible liquefaction of soil in the event of a major earthquake. The Board also heard presentations from staff regarding the feasibility of relocating students and staff. The Board also heard from members of the Lum Elementary community who were concerned about the future of Lum Elementary and whether the risk posed to Lum Elementary warrants relocation of students to other sites.

On May 23, 2017, the Board decided to relocate Lum Elementary students and staff to other school sites and not return students or staff to Lum Elementary without future Board approval. The Board also directed staff to seek information regarding the cost and timeline for any repair or replacement of Lum Elementary.

Mr. Khan explained that if the Board decides to pursue replacement or remediation of the Lum Elementary building, it will take further action during future open session meetings. If the Board decides not to pursue replacement or remediation of the Lum Elementary building at this time, the matter may be referred to the District Advisory Committee to review and analyze and to determine if the Lum Elementary property could be designated as “excess” or “surplus” because it will not be needed for school purposes.

Mark Quattrocchi from QKA gave information on the existing Lum Elementary campus and explained that specifications for the current campus

were used in the designing a replacement campus to be able to bring to the Board for information.

Mr. Quattrocchi gave the Board three options. Option 1 would be a seismic upgrade to the existing campus. This option would cost approximately \$34.5 million dollars in today's dollars. This amount would need to be adjusted for the year the project were to be completed. This option could take approximately 36 months from start to finish.

Option 2 would be a replacement campus. The replacement campus would be a similar size to the existing campus. Classrooms would need to be slightly larger to meet current standards. The option would cost approximately \$32.8 million dollars. This option could take approximately 32 months from start to finish.

Option 3 would be to build an enlarged replacement campus. This option was presented to be able to maximize enrollment. This option would cost approximately \$47.4 million dollars. This option could take approximately 34 months to finish.

QKA recommends the Board only consider options 2 and 3 because the State of California will not approve the repair of a building where the cost of the repair exceeds 50% of the replacement cost. Option 1 is 210% of the cost of replacement of the building.

Public Comment:

Joe Keiser, parent of AUSD student and former Lum parent: Mr. Keiser stated that budget considerations are paramount to the Board and district at this time. Mr. Keiser stated that the Board has expressed an interest in community input in district matters, and Mr. Keiser stated he feels there has not been adequate community input on this issue. Mr. Keiser stated the Lum community consulted with engineers, and these engineers had different assumptions than the district has stated.

Ron Parodi, AUSD teacher: Mr. Parodi stated he is disappointed that the current Bond does not fund a new school campus in Alameda. Mr. Parodi stated, as presented, the earliest a site could open on the current Lum campus is early 2021 or 2022. Mr. Parodi stated it upsets him to think of what schools would have to close if a new, larger campus were to be built at the Lum site.

Eungo Chong, current AUSD parent and former Lum parent: Mr. Chong suggested moving the Lum campus to the current Wood blacktop or one of the other surrounding public spaces. Mr. Chong stated his young child constantly asks him when he will get to go back to Lum School. Mr. Chong stated his son does not want to go to school now at his new school site.

A Board member stated that she wants to let the audience and Lum community know that the decision to relocate students and staff from the campus was

incredibly hard for her personally. The Board member stated that her child's current teacher at Bay Farm School is a former Lum teacher, and every day she is reminded of the decision made last year. The Board member stated she hears that Lum is a special place and she is personally benefiting because she now has a teacher that comes from such a special place.

Two Board members asked General Counsel to clarify what decisions the District Advisory Committee is being tasked to decide with regards to Lum Elementary School. Mr. Pimentel stated that if the Board decides to "fix it" one day, it would then not be a property that would be under consideration from the DAC, because it would no longer be a surplus property.

A Board member stated her value would be to have additional community input on the future of the Lum Elementary School campus.

A Board member stated she stands behind her very difficult decision to relocate staff and students from the Lum campus last year. The Board member stated she needs to hear more methods for the repair or replacement of the school site. The Board member also asked if the cost estimates presented would be the same if the location of the school was moved to an adjacent piece of land.

A Board member stated she agrees with the comments from the other two Board members. She agrees there needs to be community input and meetings about this issue. The Board member stated her value is that these meetings would be about repairing the building and how these costs would be addressed rather than rehashing the findings that necessitated the removal of students and staff from the building last spring.

Shariq Khan stated that he hears that the Board would like 1-2 public meetings on this issue. A Board member stated she does not want a rehash of the findings, just a discussion to move forward. Mr. Khan stated there has been preliminary research done to see if there would be State matching funds to help in the cost of repair or rebuilding, and because we have capacity at our other elementary school sites, it looks like the State would only contribute approximately \$1 million dollars.

6. Governor's Budget Proposal

The Governor presented his Proposed 2018-2019 Budget on January 10, 2018. AUSD staff, as well as union leadership, attended the School Services of California budget presentation on January 16, 2018 in Sacramento, CA.

The release of the Governor's proposed budget is the first step in a marathon that will end with the adoption of the State budget in June. The District will work to prepare the 2nd Interim Budget Report for the March 13, 2018 board meeting. At that time, the Governor's proposed budget will be reflected in the District's multi-year projection (MYP). The creation of the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) will continue over the spring for final adoption in

June. The Governor will release the Revised Proposed 2018-2019 Budget in May, and staff will work to make the pertinent changes to the final AUSD budget adoption for the June 2018.

Shariq Khan, Chief Business Officer, summed up the report by saying there was some good news, but generally this good news is in the form of one-time money, and ongoing money looks to be about the same as previous years.

Motion to extend the meeting beyond 10:30pm.

MOTION: Member Harris **SECONDED:** Member McKereghan

AYES: Members Harris, McKereghan, and Williams

NOES:

MOTION APPROVED

A Board member stated she has seen a lot of budgets come from the Governor, and this one seems to have some good news for the next three years and then we have bad news again.

Dr. McPhetridge reminded the Board about the comments from an Earhart parent that spoke earlier in the night who suggested an additional parcel tax to address the salary issue in Alameda.

A Board member stated that the State of California does not fix the funding issue because places like Alameda pass parcel taxes to make up the difference. The Board member stated this is okay for communities like Alameda where the community supports parcel taxes for education but it doesn't help communities that cannot pass these types of taxes along to their constituents.

Public Comment:

Chuck Kapelke, VP of Advocacy for PTA Council: Mr. Kapelke stated he recently attended a meeting of the California State PTA in Sacramento. Mr. Kapelke stated the two messages that came out of that meeting were increased participation in the LCAP process and funding inadequacy in education. Mr. Kapelke stated it is the goal of the PTA Council to have an excellent, transparent relationship with the district.

A Board member stated community members can visit Evolve.com to learn more about these State funding issues. The Board member asked if the federal government has released the Special Education funds it owes us for the 2016-17 school year.

Mr. Khan stated we have not received these funds, but usually we get the money allocated throughout the year. Currently we are approximately 18 months behind in getting these federal dollars.

7. Preview of Needs Assessment for District Mental Health and Behavioral Needs

Kirsten Zazo, Chief Student Support Officer, gave the Board an overview on the Mental Health Needs Assessment the district is currently undertaking. The goals of the Needs Assessment are:

- Identify student behavioral and physical health needs
- Inventory existing school and community-based services, as well as gaps in services
- Develop recommendations to create a more coordinated and integrated behavioral health service system, and work to make services more accessible to all students

Ms. Zazo shared with the Board what has been done to date and gave a timeline for each step of the project which is expected to wrap up in spring 2018. An additional presentation on this topic will be given to the Board in May 2018.

Ms. Zazo also shared recent results of surveys given and shared that currently staff is in data collection mode.

A Board member complimented Ms. Zazo and her team for being visionary in this process and work. The Board member stated this work can't be done without partnerships and she appreciates Ms. Zazo including all our community partners.

G. ADJOURNMENT 10:42pm.